

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,961	09/29/2003	Martin Dust	MOH-P010032	3944
24131	7590 03/30/2004		EXAMINER	
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA			CHAPMAN JR, JOHN E	
P O BOX 248 HOLLYWOO	SO DD, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2856	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11 19
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/673,961	DUST, MARTIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John E Chapman	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY States of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicator of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayon of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a restion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n .		
•	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the ce	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	nge
Attachment(s)	∧ √□	Nummary (PTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-90) 	948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/03 & 11/10/03.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 	(2)

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 7-12, 16-18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harth, III et al.

Harth teaches measuring the thickness of a layer 18 in a vessel 14 using an ultrasonic transducer 40 in contact with an outside diameter surface of the vessel, wherein the vessel may comprise the jacket for fuel rods (col. 4, lines 2-3). The probe 40 may comprise a Panametrics V-214BA ultrasonic transducer (col. 8, lines 42-43), which transducer has a planar surface region. See page 20 of the Panametrics, Inc. transducer catalog.

Regarding claims 2-3, 11-12 and 17-18, the coupling surface would inherently be substantially planar, since the transducer is applied to the vessel in the same manner as disclosed by the applicant.

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding claims 7, 10 and 22, Harth teaches operating at a frequency of 100 MHz (col. 4, lines 3-5), which frequency is required for cladding layers whose thickness lies between .08 and 0.1 mm (col. 3, line 66 to col. 4, line 6), which thickness is "approximately 0.15 mm."

4. Claims 1-3 and 6-12, 15-18 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harth, III et al. in view of Krautkramer et al.

Harth teaches measuring the thickness of a layer 18 in a vessel 14 using an ultrasonic transducer 40 in contact with an outside diameter surface of the vessel, and teaches that it is known in the art to measuring the thickness of the cladding layer of fuel rods (col. 4, lines 2-3). Accordingly, it would have been obvious in view of the disclosed background to use the apparatus of Harth to measure the thickness of the cladding layer of a fuel rod. The probe 40 appears to have a planar surface region (page 20 of the Panametrics, Inc. transducer catalog), and if not, it would have been obvious in view of Krautkramer to use a probe having a planar surface region. Krautkramer teaches that it is well known in the art to use a flat probe on a cylindrical surface (pages 290-293).

Regarding claims 2-3, 11-12 and 17-18, Krautkramer teaches that the contact face has the shape of a narrow rectangle (pages 290-291).

Regarding claims 6, 15 and 21, Harth teaches operating at a frequency up to 100 MHz (col. 4, lines 3-5), which frequency is required for cladding layers whose thickness lies between .08 and 0.1 mm (col. 3, line 66 to col. 4, line 6). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to measure thickness of tubes having a cladding layer down to .08 and 0.1 mm. In particular, it

Art Unit: 2856

would have been obvious to measure thickness of tubes having a wall thickness less than 1 mm and a cladding layer between .08 and 0.1 mm.

Regarding claims 7, 10 and 22, it would have been obvious to measure thickness of a cladding layer greater than .08 to 0.1 mm, such as 0.15 mm.

5. Claims 4-5, 13-14 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harth, III, in view of Trulson et al.

The only difference between the claimed invention and the prior art consists in digitally processing the echo signal. Trulson teaches that it is well known in the art to digitally process an echo signal to reduce the chance of error and obtain more consistently accurate measurements (col. 1, lines 53-57). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to digitally process the echo signals in Harth in order to reduce the chance of error and obtain more consistently accurate measurements.

6. Claims 4-5, 13-14 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harth, III in view of Krautkramer as applied to claims 1, 8 and 16 above, and further in view of Trulson et al.

The added difference between the claimed invention and the prior art consists in digitally processing the echo signal. Trulson teaches that it is well known in the art to digitally process an echo signal to reduce the chance of error and obtain more consistently accurate measurements (col. 1, lines 53-57). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to digitally process the echo

Application/Control Number: 10/673,961 Page 5

Art Unit: 2856

signals in Harth in order to reduce the chance of error and obtain more consistently accurate measurements.

- Applicant is advised that should claim 2 be found allowable, claim 3 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. Likewise, should claims 4, 11, 13, 17 and 19 be found allowable, claims 5, 12, 14 18 and 20 will respectively be objected to as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rottmar discloses an apparatus for fixing an ultrasonic transducer having a planar surface to a container having a curved outer surface.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John E Chapman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2191. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2856

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ohn E Chapman Primary Examine Page 6

Art Unit 2856